

## RED LIGHT CAMERA (RLC) SUPPORTERS ARE COMMITTING A CRIMINAL ACT

It's criminal to perpetuate safety flaws, which cause human death or dismemberment, for profit.

### **Guessing at the yellow traffic light: A deadly flaw in highway safety & the root cause of red light running.**

Drivers guess at both time and distance. (*Time* -Yellow lights are timed differently & Human perception of time varies according to stress.) (*Distance* – Drivers must guess at the distance the vehicle will cover in a given period of time and the distance needed to brake safely).

This flaw stems from designing an objective process (*safety*) with subjective rules (*What does the driver think?*). (See #StopGoLine at [CivilLiberty101.com](http://CivilLiberty101.com))

**Fairness Under Law:** Before being held accountable for running red lights & to perfectly time the red, drivers require a line in the sand indicating their responsibility (*to either stop or go*) at the onset of the yellow light.

**No Excuses:** There are challenges to designing such a system (*like, making it work during periods of heavy congestion*) but mortality statistics prove there are no excuses for refusing to try or for stonewalling efforts to get started or for withholding RLC money to fund the research. One life is lost each hour we delay.

**Blood Money:** Every dollar of RLC revenue diverted away from the scientific research needed to develop objective decision methods (*like CivilLiberty101.com's #StopGoLine concept*) is blood money. It's criminal that not one dime, of the \$billions collected by these systems to date, has ever been utilized toward that effort. Half of the money collected could have made thousands of the most dangerous intersections in America safer -today!

**Criminal Behavior:** It's criminal that these for-profit companies are allowed to create huge revenue streams by perpetuating this flaw which is known to cause the death and dismemberment of thousands of Americans annually. What incentive do they have to help drivers make safer decisions? Instead, they criminally use this revenue to influence the opinions of our elected and public safety officials (*as a form of bribery*) away from their primary responsibilities (*1: to keep the public safe from harm 2: To give Citizens a fair chance to obey the law before punishing them unfairly*). These crimes will continue if you fail to take a stand and if you fail to take action.

**Realistic Goals:** Considering AAA's recent report<sup>(1)</sup>, indicating over 90% of those surveyed agree that running red lights is "unacceptable", you have to ask yourself: Which is more likely to achieve >90% compliance to law: **a)** *Draconian enforcement tools like cameras (which keep us all guessing)?* Or **b)** *Aids to navigation that help drivers make safer decisions with precision?* Which method is more fair? Which is more American?

**Please do your part:** Ask your legislators to correct this disparity

1) Sign [CivilLiberty101.com's](http://CivilLiberty101.com) petition today. (*No matter where you live in the world, if America paves the moral high ground - the rest will follow*)

2) Send this document to your local officials with your endorsement that they either require 100% of revenue be used for funding the research noted above or that they make red light cameras illegal.

CivilLiberty101.com has scientists standing by for funding they need to begin studying solutions.

Contact us today

George Finn

[RedLight@CivilLiberty101.com](mailto:RedLight@CivilLiberty101.com)

(1) <https://www.aaafoundation.org/sites/default/files/2014TSClreport.pdf> pg 11 Attitudes and behavior: Red-light running